



Research Article

Influence of Sodium Acetate and Sodium Chloride on Emulsion Capacity and Stability of Mushroom Varieties

Ademola Adamu¹, Kikelomo Mabinuola Arifalo¹, Amoke Monisola Kenni², and Ifeoluwa Ayodeji Abulude^{3*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Bamidele Olomilua University of Education, Science, and Technology, Ikere, Ekiti State, Nigeria

²Department of Science Education, Bamidele Olomilua University of Education, Science, and Technology, Ikere, Ekiti State, Nigeria

³Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, Landmark University, Omu-Aran, Kwara State, Nigeria

*Corresponding author: walefut@gmail.com

Abstract

*The effects of sodium acetate and sodium chloride salts (2-8%) on emulsion capacity (EC) and emulsion stability (ES) of mushroom samples (*Lentinus subnudus* Berk (M₁), *Chlorophyllum molybditis* (M₂), *Volvariella esculenta* (M₃), *Coprinus tramentarius* (M₄), *Pleurotus ostreatus* Jacq (M₅), *Termitomyces microcarpus* (M₆) and *Pleurotus pulmonarius* (M₇) have been studied using standard methods. EC findings for water samples (%) were: 76.26 (M₂) to 87.26 (M₆), while EC values for all salt concentrations were 2% (67.26-78.18), 4% (60.48-78.18), 6% (64.48-78.18%) and 8% (64.48-78.18). At $p < 0.05$, there were no substantial differences. The ES of most mushrooms was found to be stable between 1 and 4h in both water and different salt concentrations. The findings showed that the two salts used at different concentrations had no significant impact on emulsion capability and stability.*

Keywords: Mushrooms, capacity, stability, salts, coalescence, food processing.

Received: 28 Oct 2020

Accepted: 22 Jan. 2021

Introduction

In the South-western part of Nigeria, fungi, especially edible ones, have been an alternative to meat (Abulude and Ndamitso, 2013). The names of these mushrooms are based on morphology, habitat, taste, texture, and growth habit (Oso, 1975; Adeyeye and Abulude, 2010). According to Abulude and Abdulrasheed (2014), the quantity of mushrooms grown or collected by local farmers is lower than the consumer intake. In other words, the attitude of Nigerians towards consumption is high.

In many sectors, sodium acetate and sodium chloride salts are useful. In the textile industry, for example, they are used to neutralize sulphuric acid waste streams, again enhancing the consistency of finished textiles. They may be employed as part of the developer solution and works as photo resist agent. They act as preservatives and flavouring agents in the food industry. Potato chips with sodium acetate have a distinctive "salt and vinegar" flavor in particular. Sodium acetate solutions in the medical sector treat patients with elevated levels of blood acid and/or low levels of sodium (Wasserman, 2015).

The physical and chemical changes that happen during food storage, preparation, and presentation are considered to be the functional properties of food. Lipids have functional properties that make them a significant food requirement and a useful component when frying food as well. The dispersion of lipids, oil, or fat in a liquid is emulsification. As oil and water are not mixable, emulsification involves the use of a third ingredient. The oil is reduced to very tiny droplets when oil and water are shaken or combined for a long time, which tends to be dispersed in the water. If the mixture is permitted for a time of rest or standing, it will separate. Mayonaise, gravy, and cheese are realistic applications for the food industry (Lorizio, 2017).

Several studies have been conducted on the functional properties of food using water as a solvent (Abulude, 2004; Abulude *et al.*, 2005; Adeyeye *et al.*, 2005; Adeyeye *et al.*, 2008; Adebayo *et al.*, 2013; Ojo and Ade-Omowaye, 2015; Nnadozie *et al.*, 2015; Emeka-Ike and Ike 2020), while others have investigated the effects of various salts on various food samples (Pham *et al.*, 2016; Pan *et al.*, 2018; Ikenna, 2018; Adubiaro *et al.*, 2018; Adubiaro *et al.*, 2019; Yaku *et al.*, 2019). None of the studies on the effects of salt on mushrooms have been carried out, as it is noted that mushrooms are meat alternatives. If work is done on them as well, it will not be out of reach. On this premise, ours is reported on mushrooms.

The goal of the research is therefore to determine the effect of salts (sodium acetate and sodium chloride) on the EC and ES of food properties of seven different varieties of mushrooms acquired in Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.

Materials and Methods

Source of Materials and Sample Pre-treatment

Mushrooms were collected from the Federal College of Agriculture campus, Akure, Ondo State, Southwest Nigeria; *Lentinus subnudus* Berk (M₁), *Chlorophyllum molybditis* (M₂), *Volvariella esculenta* (M₃), *Coprinus tramentarius* (M₄), *Pleurotus ostreatus* Jacq (M₅), *Termitomyces microcarpus* (M₆) and *Pleurotus pulmonarius* (M₇). The bad or rotten samples were removed. The samples were oven-dried for 72 hours at 65°C and were then pound with porcelain pestle and mortar into powdered form. For further analysis, the milled samples were then sieved with a 2mm mesh size sieve and stored in waterproof polyethylene bags at room temperature.

Determination of Emulsion Stability (ES)

Using Beuchat's technique, the emulsion was prepared (Adeyeye *et al.*, 2008). In a Kenwood blender, one gram (1g) of the sample was mixed with 50 cm³ of distilled water for a maximum speed of 30 seconds with continuous blending, 5 cm³ each of Executive Chef vegetable oil was continuously added until there was a decrease in quality. At this point, the oil addition was discontinued. The prepared emulsion was then allowed to stand in a graduated cylinder and, in each case, the volume of water separated at intervals of between 1 and 24h was noted. Determinations in triplicates have been done.

Determination of Emulsion Capacity (EC)

The research technique was used by Adeyeye *et al.* (2008) as 0.5 g of triplicate flour samples were applied to 3 cm³ of executive Chef vegetable oil in 10 cm³ graduated centrifuge tubes. To distribute the flour in the liquid, the blends were blended with a glass rod. The volumes of separated oil were noted after keeping for a time of 30 minutes. The absorbed excess oil was expressed as the percentage of oil bound by a sample of 100 g. A specific gravity bottle was used to assess the density of the oil.

Statistical Analysis

Data obtained were generated in triplicates and analyzed using Mean, Standard deviation, and one-way analysis of variance with Duncan Multiple Range test at 95% confidence or $p < 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

The results of EC samples in water are shown in Table 1 (%). The findings thus varied: 76.26 (M₂) to 87.26 (M₆), while the values obtained in all salt concentrations are shown in Tables 2 to 6. 2% (67.26 - 78.18), 4% (60.48 - 78.18), 6% (64.48 - 78.18 percent), and 8% (64.48 - 78.18). The findings did not vary significantly ($p < 0.05$). The highest values were found for M₇ in all mushroom varieties. This illustrates the degree to which the oil was combined with sample M₇. In general, with the addition of salts and the increase in concentration, as compared to water, the EC decreased. The discrepancies found between the concentrations of water and salt may be due to the mushroom samples' hydrophobic ability. For mushrooms, *Ganoderma spp.*, *Omphalotus olearius*, and *Hebeloma mesophaeum* (Aremu *et al.*, 2009), the EC values obtained in this analysis were higher than 57.3-61 %, but in complete agreement with those of Adeyeye *et al.* (2005). The degree to which the dietary protein can shape dietary oil into fine particles may be called oil emulsion ability. Furthermore, it specifically tests the degree to which oil is combined with dietary protein. It is essential in the sense that during digestion, proteins in food are often emulsified. Due to the high free energy contributed by interfacial stress, the emulsion of water and oil is unstable. At the end stage, EC measurements take place in two-step procedures, at first releasing oil droplets, the large globular structure breaks down, then coalescence (Pour-El, 1979).

ES is stable between 1 and 4h for most mushrooms in both water and various salt concentrations (Tables 2 – 9). For both water and various salt concentrations, the values obtained were between 22.10 and 42.40 %. No significant difference was shown in the results ($p < 0.05$). Good and stable emulsion stability was demonstrated by all the mushroom samples. ES is the ability to resist alterations in properties over time, according to McClements (2017). ES is useful in determining the shelf-life of food emulsions and processing them.

In food formulations, the emulsion has several values, such as mayonnaise, salad creams, desserts, and drinks. Emulsion science has been used to enhance the consistency of food emulsions (Adheeb - Usaid *et al.*, 2014; Cassiday, 2014).

The food properties rely on the affinity of the protein, including solubility, water absorption, and wettability, for water and other polar solvents. Proteins are among the most effective emulsifiers widely used in the food industry due to their hydrophilic (water-loving) and hydrophobic (oil-loving) structures. The terminals of outer hydrophilic hydrocarbons may interact with the aqueous phase surrounding them, while

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

ISSN: 1597 – 9928. Science and Education Development Inst., Nigeria

the lipophilic interphases interact with the oil phase surrounding them. The consequence is that the interphase stress between molecules of one immiscible liquid and another immiscible liquid (such as oil and water) is decreased (Jayasena *et al.*, 2010).

Table 1. The Results of Emulsion Capacity and Stability of Selected Varieties of Mushrooms in Water (%)

Time (h)	Samples						
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	M ₄	M ₅	M ₆	M ₇
Capacity							
0	78.29±21.26 ^a	76.26±20.17 ^b	78.48±19.00 ^c	78.40±19.17 ^a	80.49±19.17 ^b	87.26±20.17 ^b	85.70±20.17 ^c
1	34.22±17.51 ^a	36.37±20.03 ^a	34.86±20.03 ^b	40.37±20.02 ^a	42.11±20.03 ^a	45.20±20.03 ^a	40.33±20.03 ^b
2	34.14±18.72 ^a	36.55±20.17 ^a	38.29±20.17 ^b	40.29±21.17 ^a	36.55±20.17 ^a	41.45±20.17 ^a	39.49±20.17 ^b
3	34.43±19.18 ^a	36.44±19.82 ^a	38.29±19.82 ^b	40.07±20.82 ^a	34.22±18.82 ^a	41.44±19.82 ^a	38.52±18.82 ^{ab}
4	34.34±20.90 ^a	35.44±19.99 ^a	38.18±19.42 ^b	40.34±20.99 ^a	34.41±19.99 ^a	40.94±19.99 ^a	38.30±20.99 ^{ab}
5	33.29±20.57 ^a	35.56±20.05 ^a	38.18±18.90 ^b	40.51±20.05 ^a	34.26±20.05 ^a	39.56±20.05 ^a	38.26±20.05 ^{ab}
6	32.37±19.40 ^a	35.58±21.45 ^a	38.11±21.45 ^a	40.41±19.45 ^a	34.37±21.45 ^a	39.55±21.45 ^a	37.41±21.45 ^a
22	32.27±19.19 ^a	35.59±21.18 ^a	38.10±21.18 ^b	40.59±21.18 ^a	34.58±21.18 ^a	39.15±21.18 ^a	37.36±21.18 ^a
24	32.37±20.55 ^a	35.33±20.00 ^a	38.00±20.00 ^b	38.33±19.95 ^a	34.46±19.00 ^a	39.33±20.00 ^a	37.30±19.56 ^a

All values were expressed as averages of triplicate determinations ± the standard deviations and values bearing the same superscripts in the same column are significantly not different ($p < 0.05$).

Table 2. The Results of Emulsion Capacity and Stability of Selected Varieties of Mushrooms in Sodium Acetate (2%)

Time (h)	Samples						
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	M ₄	M ₅	M ₆	M ₇
Capacity							
0	78.11±18.26 ^b	69.11±20.17 ^b	70.48±19.00 ^b	78.40±19.17 ^b	68.09±21.17 ^b	67.26±20.17 ^b	78.18±20.17 ^c
1	40.22±22.51 ^a	35.37±20.03 ^a	40.86±20.03 ^a	42.37±20.02 ^a	37.11±20.03 ^a	35.20±20.03 ^a	38.11±20.03 ^b
2	40.10±21.72 ^a	35.55±20.17 ^a	37.29±20.17 ^a	42.29±21.17 ^a	37.55±20.17 ^a	35.45±20.17 ^b	34.19±20.17 ^a
3	40.11±21.18 ^a	35.44±19.82 ^a	37.45±19.82 ^a	41.07±20.82 ^a	37.22±18.82 ^a	35.44±19.82 ^a	34.12±18.82 ^a
4	40.07±20.90 ^a	35.44±19.99 ^a	37.57±19.42 ^a	41.34±20.99 ^a	37.41±19.99 ^a	35.94±19.99 ^a	34.11±20.99 ^a
5	40.29±20.57 ^a	35.15±20.05 ^a	37.52±18.90 ^a	41.51±20.05 ^a	37.26±20.05 ^a	35.56±20.05 ^a	34.11±20.05 ^a
6	40.15±20.40 ^a	35.11±21.45 ^a	37.58±21.45 ^a	41.41±19.45 ^a	36.37±21.45 ^a	35.55±21.45 ^c	34.11±21.45 ^a
22	40.16±20.19 ^a	35.29±21.18 ^a	37.10±21.18 ^a	41.40±21.18 ^a	36.58±21.18 ^a	35.15±21.18 ^a	34.26±21.18 ^a
24	40.15±20.18 ^a	35.33±20.00 ^a	36.47±20.00 ^a	40.48±19.95 ^a	36.46±19.00 ^a	35.33±20.00 ^a	34.11±20.09 ^a

All values were expressed as averages of triplicate determinations ± the standard deviations and values bearing the same superscripts in the same row are significantly not different ($p < 0.05$).

Table 3. The Results of Emulsion Capacity and Stability of Selected Varieties of Mushrooms in Sodium Acetate (4%)

Time (h)	Samples						
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	M ₄	M ₅	M ₆	M ₇
Capacity							
0	70.19±18.26 ^d	69.18±20.17 ^b	60.48±19.00 ^b	72.11±19.17 ^c	68.09±21.17 ^b	68.26±20.17 ^a	78.18±20.17 ^a
1	44.22±22.51 ^c	42.37±20.03 ^b	24.86±20.03 ^a	44.20±20.02 ^b	30.15±20.03 ^a	42.20±20.03 ^a	40.11±20.03 ^c
2	42.10±21.72 ^{bc}	41.55±20.17 ^b	24.57±20.17 ^a	44.19±21.17 ^{ab}	29.55±20.17 ^a	40.45±20.17 ^a	40.19±20.17 ^{ab}
3	40.11±21.18 ^a	41.44±19.82 ^b	24.45±19.82 ^a	42.07±20.82 ^a	29.22±18.82 ^a	39.44±19.82 ^a	40.12±18.82 ^{ab}
4	40.07±20.90 ^a	40.44±19.99 ^a	24.29±19.42 ^a	42.34±20.99 ^a	28.41±19.99 ^a	39.94±19.99 ^a	39.11±20.99 ^{ab}
5	40.29±20.57 ^{ab}	40.15±20.05 ^b	22.58±18.90 ^b	40.51±20.05 ^a	28.26±20.05 ^a	39.56±20.05 ^a	39.11±20.05 ^{ab}
6	40.15±20.40 ^{ab}	38.11±21.45 ^b	22.52±21.45 ^a	40.48±19.45 ^a	28.37±21.45 ^a	39.55±21.45 ^a	39.11±21.45 ^{abc}
22	38.18±20.19 ^{ab}	38.29±21.18 ^a	22.47±21.18 ^b	40.40±21.18 ^a	28.58±21.18 ^a	39.15±21.18 ^a	39.26±21.18 ^{ab}
24	38.15±20.18 ^{ab}	38.33±20.00 ^b	22.10±20.00 ^a	40.40±19.95 ^{ab}	28.46±19.00 ^a	39.33±20.00 ^a	39.11±20.09 ^a

All values were expressed as averages of triplicate determinations ± the standard deviations and values bearing the same superscripts in the same row are significantly not different (p < 0.05).

Table 4. Emulsion Capacity and Stability of Selected Varieties of Mushrooms in Sodium Acetate (6%)

Time (h)	Samples						
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	M ₄	M ₅	M ₆	M ₇
Capacity							
0	70.11±18.26 ^b	73.11±20.17 ^b	64.48±19.00 ^b	72.40±19.17 ^c	78.09±21.17 ^a	68.26±20.17 ^c	78.18±20.17 ^d
1	34.22±22.51 ^a	40.37±20.03 ^b	28.86±20.03 ^b	43.37±20.02 ^b	36.11±20.03 ^a	40.20±20.03 ^b	44.11±20.03 ^c
2	33.10±21.72 ^a	40.55±20.17 ^b	26.29±20.17 ^b	42.29±21.17 ^{ab}	34.55±20.17 ^a	40.45±20.17 ^b	42.19±20.17 ^{ab}
3	33.11±21.18 ^a	40.44±19.82 ^b	26.45±19.82 ^b	42.07±20.82 ^{ab}	34.22±18.82 ^a	38.44±19.82 ^{ab}	42.12±18.82 ^{ab}
4	32.07±20.90 ^a	40.44±19.99 ^a	26.57±19.42 ^b	40.34±20.99 ^{ab}	34.41±19.99 ^a	38.94±19.99 ^{ab}	42.11±20.99 ^{ab}
5	32.29±20.57 ^a	38.15±20.05 ^{ab}	24.52±18.90 ^b	40.51±20.05 ^{ab}	32.26±20.05 ^a	38.56±20.05 ^{ab}	42.11±20.05 ^{ab}
6	32.15±20.40 ^a	38.11±21.45 ^{ab}	24.58±21.45 ^a	40.41±19.45 ^{ab}	32.37±21.45 ^a	38.55±21.45 ^{ab}	42.11±21.45 ^{abc}
22	32.16±20.19 ^a	35.29±21.18 ^a	24.10±21.18 ^b	40.40±21.18 ^{ab}	32.58±21.18 ^a	37.15±21.18 ^a	40.26±21.18 ^{ab}
24	32.15±20.18 ^a	35.33±20.00 ^{ab}	24.47±20.00 ^b	37.48±19.95 ^a	30.46±19.00 ^a	35.33±20.00 ^a	40.11±20.09 ^a

All values were expressed as averages of triplicate determinations ± the standard deviations and values bearing the same superscripts in the same row are significantly not different (p < 0.05).

Table 5. The Results of Emulsion Capacity and Stability of Selected Varieties of Mushrooms in Sodium Acetate (8%)

Time (h)	Samples						
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	M ₄	M ₅	M ₆	M ₇
Capacity							
0	70.11±18.26 ^b	73.11±20.17 ^b	64.48±19.00 ^b	72.40±19.17 ^c	78.09±21.17 ^a	68.26±20.17 ^c	78.18±20.17 ^d
1	33.22±22.51 ^a	41.37±20.03 ^b	26.56±20.03 ^b	44.37±20.02 ^b	38.11±20.03 ^a	40.60±20.03 ^b	43.10±20.03 ^a
2	33.10±21.72 ^a	40.55±20.17 ^b	26.29±20.17 ^b	42.29±21.17 ^{ab}	34.55±20.17 ^a	40.45±20.17 ^b	42.19±20.17 ^a
3	33.11±21.18 ^a	40.44±19.82 ^b	26.45±19.82 ^b	42.07±20.82 ^{ab}	34.22±18.82 ^a	38.44±19.82 ^{ab}	42.12±18.82 ^a
4	32.07±20.90 ^a	40.44±19.99 ^a	26.57±19.42 ^b	40.34±20.99 ^{ab}	34.41±19.99 ^a	38.94±19.99 ^{ab}	42.11±20.99 ^a
5	32.29±20.57 ^a	38.15±20.05 ^{ab}	24.52±18.90 ^b	40.51±20.05 ^{ab}	32.26±20.05 ^a	38.56±20.05 ^{ab}	42.11±20.05 ^a
6	32.15±20.40 ^a	38.11±21.45 ^{ab}	24.58±21.45 ^a	40.41±19.45 ^{ab}	32.37±21.45 ^a	38.55±21.45 ^{ab}	42.11±21.45 ^a
22	32.16±20.19 ^a	35.29±21.18 ^a	24.10±21.18 ^b	40.40±21.18 ^{ab}	32.58±21.18 ^a	37.15±21.18 ^a	40.26±21.18 ^a
24	32.15±20.18 ^a	35.33±20.00 ^{ab}	24.47±20.00 ^b	37.48±19.95 ^a	30.46±19.00 ^a	35.33±20.00 ^a	40.11±20.09 ^b

All values were expressed as averages of triplicate determinations ± the standard deviations and values bearing the same superscripts in the same row are significantly not different (p < 0.05).

Table 6. The Results of Emulsion Capacity and Stability of Selected Varieties of Mushrooms in Sodium Chloride (2%)

Time (h)	Samples						
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	M ₄	M ₅	M ₆	M ₇
Capacity							
0	70.11±1.26 ^a	73.11±2.17 ^a	72.48±1.00 ^b	68.40±1.17 ^b	75.15±2.17 ^c	75.26±2.17 ^b	70.18±2.17 ^c
1	29.11±2.51 ^a	34.37±2.03 ^a	38.86±2.03 ^a	28.37±2.02 ^a	46.11±2.03 ^b	38.20±2.03 ^a	44.49±2.03 ^b
2	29.11±2.72 ^a	32.55±2.17 ^a	38.29±2.17 ^a	26.29±1.17 ^a	44.55±2.17 ^{ab}	38.45±2.17 ^a	44.19±2.17 ^b
3	27.11±2.18 ^a	32.44±1.82 ^a	38.45±1.82 ^a	26.07±2.82 ^a	42.22±1.82 ^{ab}	38.44±1.82 ^a	44.12±1.82 ^{ab}
4	27.07±2.90 ^a	32.44±1.99 ^a	38.57±1.42 ^a	26.34±2.99 ^a	42.41±1.99 ^a	38.94±1.99 ^a	44.11±2.99 ^b
5	27.29±2.57 ^a	32.15±2.05 ^a	38.52±1.90 ^a	26.51±2.05 ^a	42.26±2.05 ^a	38.56±2.05 ^a	44.11±2.05 ^{ab}
6	27.15±2.40 ^b	32.11±2.45 ^a	38.58±2.45 ^a	26.41±1.45 ^a	42.37±2.45 ^a	38.55±2.45 ^a	44.00±2.45 ^{ab}
22	27.16±2.19 ^b	32.29±2.18 ^a	38.10±2.18 ^a	26.40±2.18 ^a	42.58±2.18 ^a	36.15±2.18 ^a	40.24±2.18 ^a
24	27.15±2.18 ^{ab}	30.33±2.00 ^a	38.47±2.00 ^a	26.48±1.95 ^a	42.46±1.98 ^a	36.33±2.00 ^a	40.96±2.09 ^a

All values were expressed as averages of triplicate determinations ± the standard deviations and values bearing the same superscripts in the same row are significantly not different (p < 0.05).

Table 7. The Results of Emulsion Capacity and Stability of Selected Varieties of Mushrooms in Sodium Chloride (4%)

Time (h)	M ₁	M ₂	Samples		M ₅	M ₆	M ₇
			M ₃	M ₄			
Capacity							
0	70.11±1.26 ^{ab}	73.11±2.17 ^a	70.48±1.00 ^c	68.40±1.17 ^c	75.15±2.17 ^c	75.26±2.17 ^b	71.19±2.17 ^{ab}
1	28.11±2.51 ^{ab}	33.37±2.03 ^a	37.88±2.03 ^b	28.37±2.02 ^b	47.11±2.03 ^b	38.20±2.03 ^a	45.39±2.03 ^{ab}
2	28.11±2.72 ^{ab}	32.55±2.17 ^a	37.30±2.17 ^{ab}	26.29±1.17 ^{ab}	44.55±2.17 ^b	38.45±2.17 ^a	44.19±2.17 ^{ab}
3	27.11±2.18 ^{ab}	32.44±1.82 ^a	37.25±1.82 ^{ab}	26.07±2.82 ^{ab}	43.22±1.82 ^{ab}	37.44±1.82 ^a	44.12±1.82 ^{ab}
4	27.07±2.90 ^b	32.44±1.99 ^a	37.57±1.42 ^{ab}	26.34±2.99 ^a	43.41±1.99 ^{ab}	37.94±1.99 ^a	44.11±2.99 ^b
5	27.29±2.57 ^{ab}	31.15±2.05 ^a	37.02±1.90 ^{ab}	26.51±2.05 ^a	42.26±2.05 ^{ab}	37.56±2.05 ^a	42.12±2.05 ^{ab}
6	27.05±2.40 ^{ab}	31.11±2.45 ^a	35.48±2.45 ^{ab}	26.41±1.45 ^{ab}	42.37±2.45 ^a	38.55±2.45 ^a	42.10±2.45 ^b
22	27.06±2.19 ^a	31.29±2.18 ^a	35.10±2.18 ^{ab}	26.40±2.18 ^a	42.58±2.18 ^a	36.15±2.18 ^a	42.23±2.18 ^a

All values were expressed as averages of triplicate determinations ± the standard deviations and values bearing the same superscripts in the same row are significantly not different (p < 0.05).

Table 8. The Results of Emulsion Capacity and Stability of Selected Varieties of Mushrooms in Sodium Chloride (6%)

Time (h)	M ₁	M ₂	Samples		M ₅	M ₆	M ₇
			M ₃	M ₄			
Capacity							
0	68.15±1.26 ^{ab}	76.11±2.17 ^c	81.48±1.00 ^c	76.03±1.17 ^b	75.15±2.17 ^b	78.18±2.17 ^b	77.72±2.17 ^{ab}
1	34.11±2.51 ^a	43.37±2.03 ^a	46.86±2.03 ^b	34.37±2.02 ^a	46.11±2.03 ^a	45.20±2.03 ^a	24.49±2.03 ^a
2	29.11±2.72 ^a	38.55±2.17 ^a	45.29±2.17 ^b	32.29±1.17 ^a	44.55±2.17 ^a	45.45±2.17 ^a	24.19±2.17 ^a
3	26.11±2.18 ^a	38.44±1.82 ^a	45.45±1.82 ^b	32.07±2.82 ^a	42.22±1.82 ^a	43.44±1.82 ^a	24.12±1.82 ^a
4	26.07±2.90 ^a	38.44±1.99 ^a	45.57±1.42 ^b	32.34±2.99 ^a	42.41±1.99 ^a	43.94±1.99 ^a	24.11±2.99 ^a
5	26.29±2.57 ^a	38.15±2.05 ^a	45.52±1.90 ^b	32.51±2.05 ^a	42.26±2.05 ^a	43.56±2.05 ^a	24.11±2.05 ^a
6	26.15±2.40 ^{ab}	38.11±2.45 ^a	45.58±2.45 ^b	32.41±1.45 ^a	42.37±2.45 ^a	43.55±2.45 ^a	24.00±2.45 ^a
22	26.16±2.19 ^a	38.29±2.18 ^a	43.10±2.18 ^a	32.40±2.18 ^a	42.58±2.18 ^a	43.15±2.18 ^a	24.24±2.18 ^a
24	26.15±2.18 ^b	38.33±2.00 ^b	43.47±2.00 ^a	32.48±1.95 ^a	42.46±1.98 ^a	43.33±2.00 ^a	24.96±2.09 ^a

All values were expressed as averages of triplicate determinations ± the standard deviations and values bearing the same superscripts in the same row are significantly not different (p < 0.05).

Table 9. The Results of Emulsion Capacity and Stability of Selected Varieties of Mushrooms in Sodium Chloride (8%)

Time (h)	Samples						
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	M ₄	M ₅	M ₆	M ₇
Capacity							
0	70.11±1.26 ^b	72.11±2.17 ^c	68.18±1.00 ^b	70.19±1.17 ^b	70.15±2.17 ^c	75.26±2.17 ^b	70.18±2.17 ^c
1	34.11±2.51 ^a	42.37±2.03 ^b	40.15±2.03 ^a	39.37±2.02 ^a	40.11±2.03 ^b	43.20±2.03 ^{ab}	44.49±2.03 ^b
2	34.11±2.72 ^a	42.55±2.17 ^b	40.12±2.17 ^a	39.29±1.17 ^a	40.55±2.17 ^b	43.45±2.17 ^{ab}	44.19±2.17 ^b
3	34.11±2.18 ^a	42.44±1.82 ^b	38.45±1.82 ^a	39.07±2.82 ^a	40.22±1.82 ^{ab}	43.44±1.82 ^{ab}	42.12±1.82 ^{ab}
4	32.07±2.90 ^a	42.44±1.99 ^b	38.57±1.42 ^a	38.34±2.99 ^a	40.41±1.99 ^b	43.94±1.99 ^{ab}	42.11±2.99 ^{ab}
5	32.29±2.57 ^a	40.15±2.05 ^{ab}	38.52±1.90 ^a	38.21±2.05 ^a	40.26±2.05 ^b	43.56±2.05 ^{ab}	42.11±2.05 ^{ab}
6	32.15±2.40 ^a	40.11±2.45 ^{ab}	38.58±2.45 ^a	38.11±1.45 ^a	40.37±2.45 ^b	42.55±2.45 ^{ab}	40.00±2.45 ^a
22	32.16±2.19 ^a	40.29±2.18 ^{ab}	37.10±2.18 ^a	38.10±2.18 ^a	36.58±2.18 ^a	40.15±2.18 ^{ab}	40.24±2.18 ^a
24	32.15±2.18 ^a	36.33±2.00 ^a	37.47±2.00 ^a	38.08±1.95 ^a	36.46±1.98 ^a	40.33±2.00 ^a	40.96±2.09 ^a

All values were expressed as averages of triplicate determinations ± the standard deviations and values bearing the same superscripts in the same row are significantly not different ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion

The study determined the influence of salts (sodium acetate and sodium chloride) on the EC and ES food properties of seven different varieties of mushrooms acquired in Akure using standard analytical methods. The results showed that the EC was high and ES was stable for about 3 h in some instances. In short, there was no significant influence of the salts on the properties of the food substance used.

Conflict of Interest: None

Funding: None

Acknowledgment: The authors are grateful to the contributors for the completion of the work, especially, Prof. M.M. Ndamitso of Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Niger State, Nigeria for his supervisory role in the work.

References

- Abulude, F.O. (2004). Effect of Processing on Nutritional Composition, Phytate and Functional Properties of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L) Flour. *Nigerian Food Journal*. 22: 97-104
- Abulude, F.O., Lawal, L.O., and Kayode, A.O. (2005). Effect of processing on some food functional properties of millet (*Eleusine coracana*) flour. *J. Food Technology*, 3(3): 460-463.
- Abulude, F.O., and Ndamitso, M.M. (2013). Fungi: A Review on mushrooms. In Current Trends in Advancement of Scientific Research and Opinion in Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology. Edited by Subha Ganguly. Published by Science and Education Development Institute, Nigeria. Chapter 5. Pages 18 – 31. ISBN: 978 – 978 – 52231 – 2 – 5.
- Abulude, F.O., and Abdulrasheed, Y. (2014). Attitudes of Nigerians towards Mushrooms. *Continental J. Microbiology* 8 (1): 20 – 25.
- Adebayo, W. A., Ogunsina, B. S., and Gbadamosi, S. O. (2013). Some physico – chemical and Functional properties of Kariya (*Hildegardia baterii*) kernel flours. *Ife Journal of Science*. 15(3), 477 – 488.
- Adeyeye, E.I, Asaolu, S.S, Asaolu, O., and Abulude, F.O. (2005). Effects of some salt on water absorption, least gelation capacities, and oil emulsion stability of edible mushrooms. *Advanced Food Sci* 27: 56 – 60.
- Adeyeye, E.I., Adubiaro, H.A., and Awodola, O.J. (2008). Comparability of chemical composition and functional properties of shell and flesh of *Penaecus notabilis*. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 7 (6), 741 – 747.
- Adeyeye, E. I. and Abulude, F.O. (2010). The chemical composition and some anti-nutrient factors of seven mushrooms consumed in Nigeria. *Int. J. Chem. Sci.* 4 (1): 1 - 8.
- Adheeb-Usaid, A.S., Premkumar, J., and Ranganathan, T. (2014). Emulsion and it's Applications in Food Processing –A Review. *Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*. 4(4): 241-248.
- Adubiaro, H.O., Babalola, B.M., Olaleye, A.A., Ogunbusola, E.M., Sanni, T.A., and Arogundade, I.O. (2019). Evaluation of the Effects of Salts on the Functional Properties of Baobab (*Adansonia digitata*) Seed Flour. *Oriental J. Chemistry*. 35(6): 1760-1766.

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

ISSN: 1597 – 9928. Science and Education Development Inst., Nigeria

Adubiaro, H.O., Olaofe, O., and Sanni, T.A. (2018). Effects of Salts on the Functional Properties of *Daniellia oliveri* Seed Flour. *FUW Trends in Science and Technology Journal*. 3(1), 329 - 334.

Aremu, M.O, Basu, SK, Gyar, S.D, Goyal, A, Bhowmik, P.K., and Datta –Banik, S. (2009). Proximate Composition and Functional Properties of Mushroom Flours from *Ganoderma spp.*, *Omphalotus olearius* (DC.) Sing. and *Hebeloma mesophaeum*(Pers.) Quél. Used in Nasarawa State, Nigeria. *Mal J Nutr* 15(2): 233 – 241.

Cassiday, L. (2014). Emulsions: making oil and water mix. AOCS. <https://www.aocs.org/stay-informed/inform-magazine/featured-articles/emulsions-making-oil-and-water-mix-april-2014?SSO=True>. Assessed 1/8/2021.

Emeka-Ike, P.C., and Ike, C.C. (2020). Evaluation of physicochemical properties and microbiological safety of pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo*) seed composite flours. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 12(03), 061–072.

Ikenna, G. (2018). Effects of Some Salts (NaCl and Na₂SO₄) on Functional Properties of Pumpkin Seed (*Telfaria occidentals*) Flour. Afribary.com: Retrieved January 08, 2021, from <https://afribary.com/works/effects-of-some-salts-nacl-and-na2-so4-on-functional-properties-of-pumpkin-seed-telfaria-occidentals-floor-6185>.

Jayasena, V., Chih, H.J., and Nasar-Abbas, S.M. (2010). Functional properties of sweet lupin protein isolated and tested at various pH levels. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*. 6(2): 130-137.

Lorizio R (2017). Functional properties of foods. Prezi Inc.

McClements, D.J. (2017). Stability of food emulsions (1). Biopolymers and Colloids Laboratory. Department of Food Science. University of Massachusetts. Amherst. [https://people.umass.edu/~mcclemen/FoodEmulsions2008/Presentations\(PDF\)/\(6\)Emulsion_Stability.pdf](https://people.umass.edu/~mcclemen/FoodEmulsions2008/Presentations(PDF)/(6)Emulsion_Stability.pdf). Retrieved March 2017.

Nnadozie, E.F., Kelechi, A.J., and Deborah, O. (2015). Effects of pH and NaCl on the Protein Solubility, Emulsifying and Foaming Properties of Germinated and Ungerminated Melon (*Colocynthis citrullus*) Seed Flour. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 4(2), 173-177.

Ojo, M.A., and Ade-Omowaye, B.I.O. (2015). Some Functional and Physical Properties of Selected Underutilised Hard- To- Cook Legumes In Nigeria. *American Journal of Food Science and Nutrition*. 2(5), 73– 81.

Oso, B. A. (1975). Mushrooms and the Yoruba people of Nigeria. *Mycologia*. 67(2):311-319.

Pan Li, Jiamin Wen, Xiaowei Ma, Fengying Lin, Zhuo Jiang, Bing Du (2018). Structural, functional properties and immunomodulatory activity of isolated Inca peanut (*Plukenetia volubilis* L.) seed albumin fraction, *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.07.046, 118, (1931-1941).

Pham, T.T., Tran, T.T.T., Ton, N.M.N., Le, V.V.M. (2016). Effects of pH and Salt Concentration on Functional Properties of Pumpkin Seed Protein Fractions. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*. 41(4): <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.13073>. Accessed 1/1/2021.

Pour-El, A. (1979). In functionality and protein structure. Pour-El, A. (ed). American Chemical Society Symposium Series 92, Washington, D.C.

Wasserman, R. (2015). What is sodium acetate? [Livestrong.com](https://www.livestrong.com).

Yaku, G., Venkateswerlu, V., Rao, T.P., 2019. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles by sonicated method using *Pulicha indeca* stem extract: characterization and study of antibacterial activity, anti-cancer activity and cell viability test. *Orient. J. Chem.* 35, 1767–1773.<https://doi.org/10.13005/ojc/350620>.